



Is it really possible to compensate for colour blindness with a filter?

Eva M. Valero | Rafael Huertas | Miguel Ángel Martínez-Domingo |
Luis Gómez-Robledo | Javier Hernández-Andrés | Juan Luis Nieves | Javier Romero

Department of Optics, University of Granada, Granada, Spain

Correspondence

Miguel Ángel Martínez-Domingo,
Department of Optics, University of Granada. 18071 Granada, Spain.
Email: martinezm@ugr.es

Funding information

Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad, Gobierno de España; European Regional Development Fund; Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación; Agencia Estatal de Investigación; Junta de Andalucía

Abstract

In the last 2 decades, there has been a resurgence of the idea that passive aids such as colour filters can be an effective solution to compensate colour vision deficiency (CVD) or improve colour vision for subjects with CVD. We examine briefly the scientific evidence that has to date been gathered to study the reliability of these aids. In the light of our experience working in this field, we reflect on several related issues: why this question has not been elucidated before, how a filter would have to be designed for a specific task, and the importance of developing a personalised colour space for subjects with CVD to gain some insight into the effect of aids.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Congenital colour vision deficiency (CVD) affects 8% of Caucasian males and 0.5% of Caucasian females.¹ Subjects with CVD have a limited colour gamut depending on their colour deficiency and its severity²; a significant proportion of these individuals would welcome a solution to “correct,” “compensate” or “alleviate” their deficiencies because they face difficulties with daily tasks and are also disqualified from particular professions.³ However, currently there is no effective treatment for curing CVD in humans, although gene therapy has been tested on primates.⁴

To date, two types of solutions have been used, which can be classified as active and passive aids. In active aids, the CVD is addressed using image processing, with images captured by a camera then subsequently displayed to the subject with CVD.⁵ Passive aids are based on filters incorporated into tinted glasses or contact lenses, nowadays even with their corresponding optometric prescription.

Since Maxwell,⁶ many filters have been deployed as passive aids for CVD, used either in only one eye, like X-Chrom and Chromagen, in both eyes with different filters,⁶ or recently in both eyes with the same filter, like EnChroma,

VINO, Colorlite, Colormax and ColorView. The solutions, consisting of filtering just one eye or each eye with a different filter, have been discarded as obsolete, since they lead to reduced visual acuity, changes in apparent velocity perception, visual distortions (such as the Pulfrich effect) and an impairment of depth perception,⁷⁻⁹ which may be compromising for many activities, such as driving and piloting aircraft at low light levels.

Many of these filters have been tested and analysed.⁷⁻²² Considering the large variety of analyses, psychophysical experiments, simulations and number of subjects used in these papers, researchers have reached the following conclusions:

1. Any coloured filter placed in front of the eye will reduce the energy reaching the retina in some spectral bands.
2. Coloured filters can alter both luminance and chromatic contrast, which can be used to distinguish colours that some CVD subjects could confuse, but only to the detriment of confusing other colours that they could previously discriminate.
3. A better score can be achieved in certain colour vision tests, mainly in recognition tests based on pseudoisochromatic plates.

4. Performance was degraded in tests that required fine colour discrimination, such as Farnsworth-Munsell 100 Hue (FM-100) or colour assessment and diagnosis (CAD).
5. Filters do not expand the colour gamut of individuals with CVD, therefore they will not provide improved colour perception for observers with CVD.

2 | WHY IS IT AN OPEN QUESTION?

There are several reasons that could explain why the possibility of using a colour filter to improve the colour vision of subjects with CVD has not yet been ruled out.

The first is that there is still not a universally accepted demonstration about the impossibility of a colour filter improving colour vision for these subjects. A thorough approach to prove this proposition could be to use simulations for a variety of individuals with CVD and all possible spectral transmittances with values of between 0 and 1. This has not been carried out yet, although Martínez-Domingo et al have analysed a wide set of filters.²¹ Another possible approach could be to use information theory²³ to prove that if the spectral responsivity of one of the three cone photoreceptors is shifted with respect to its normal peak position, this then makes it impossible to achieve an improvement in colour vision by introducing changes in the signals that the photoreceptors respond to.

The second reason is that quantifying colour vision improvements is still a challenging issue. Computations using simulated CVD vision modelling have been carried out with the following metrics: the number of discernible colours (interpreted as a measure for gamut expansion²¹), colour differences for a given set of colour stimuli with or without the filter added^{18,20}, and colour differences for subjects with CVD with or without the filter added, taking the colour perceived by a subject with normal colour vision as a reference.²²

When there are psychophysical experiments available, the possible improvement obtained with the filter has been measured by studying colour discrimination thresholds (eg, CAD test²⁴), recognition tests such as the Ishihara test, sorting tests such as Farnsworth D-15 or FM-100 (also used in simulations in Martínez-Domingo et al²¹), colour-naming^{25,26} or a subjective evaluation by the subjects.²⁷ Among these tests, it has been proposed that sorting tests such as the FM-100 are more appropriate for evaluating possible improvements than recognition tests such as the Ishihara.²¹ In addition, there are issues related to the way the tests are carried out; if they are displayed on a screen then the use of the filters could significantly alter the perceived colour because of the narrowness of the spectral primaries of the displays. This could also be problematic for other devices such as anomaloscopes.

To sum up, we affirm that there is no standard protocol regarding the type of test and the number and classification of observers with CVD that should be used to analyse colour vision improvements derived from the use of passive aids. Therefore, further research needs to be performed to find an effective way of measuring this possible improvement, either by issuing recommendations to include some standard test (like the FM-100 or CAD) in the battery of tests, or else by performing discrimination experiments that include real scenes and real stimuli, or recreate situations commonly found in daily life. It is noteworthy that considering only partial results may lead to confusion, such as the fact that the Ishihara test can be passed using a given filter, which could be interpreted as proof that the CVD is compensated by the filter.

Finally, the question of preference also needs to be considered. In certain situations, a subject could find a given complex natural scene more appealing when viewed through a filter, but this does not mean that the filter helps to improve their colour vision. The subject's preference could sometimes be based on a better discrimination ability for certain stimuli present in the scene, but at other times purely on aesthetic or cognitive factors, or even an inability of the subject to realise that they are not "seeing new colours".

Summarising, open questions include the lack of a satisfactory demonstration for the filters' effectiveness, the difficulty in quantifying colour vision improvements, and how to consider the influence of the subject's preference.

3 | A PERSONALISED COLORIMETRY FOR SUBJECTS WITH CVD

Many results are based on simulations of different CVD conditions, in which some modifications over the standard observer are implemented, usually after a transformation to the fundamental cone space. An assumption is made that standard colorimetry developed for non-CVD subjects could also be valid for observers with CVD. This appears to be particularly critical for luminance matchings as observers with CVD not only have a different chromatic perception, but also a different luminance perception.

Some authors have used other non-standard colorimetry strategies to study subjects with CVD, such as Moreland et al²⁸ and Richer and Adams.¹² In the case of Moreland et al, cone responses were simulated using average cone fundamentals proposed by DeMarco et al.²⁹ When the authors applied this model to study the effect of filters, they concluded that no filter could improve the colour vision in observers with CVD in the terms of it becoming closer to observers with normal colour vision.

Standard colorimetry may be an adequate tool for simply assessing the effect of filters but it is not adequate for characterising the perception of subjects with CVD. Therefore, a customised colorimetry based on the perception of people with CVD needs to be developed.³⁰ We emphasise the term “customised” because there is substantial variability among observers, especially in the case of anomalous trichromats, as evidenced by tests such as the FM-100, anomaloscope and others.³¹ To obtain this customised colorimetry system, the first step is measurement of the colour matching functions (CMF) of different subjects with CVD. After measuring the CMF, the next steps are computation of the perceptual attributes (lightness, brightness, chroma, saturation, colourfulness and hue) then testing the predictions of the developed models with psychophysical experiments. Finally, it is possible to propose and adjust specifically defined colour difference formulas.

This development would not only be useful for modelling the vision of CVD observers, but would also more realistically quantify the effect of different filters, as well as adding to existing knowledge regarding the vision of subjects with CVD.

4 | THE ULTIMATE FILTER SOLUTION? WHAT THE PERFECT FILTER SHOULD DO

We answer this by highlighting some evidence. An observer with CVD has a reduced gamut that is included within the colour gamut of an observer with normal colour vision.² Any filter modifies the colour coordinates of colour stimuli, but always within this reduced gamut. This leads to the fact that no filter can make any observer (whether with CVD or not) see a new colour, that is, a filter cannot push a colour stimulus beyond the colour gamut of the observer, so it will not increase the size of their gamut. Therefore, a filter will never improve the colour vision of an observer with CVD in the sense of allowing the perception of new colours that were not visible before, or making the subject's colour vision closer to that of an observer with normal colour vision.

Nevertheless, a filter can improve colour discrimination in certain areas of the gamut, at the cost of worsening it in others. This means that specific filters could have limited utility in helping an observer with CVD carry out specific tasks. The filter transmittance will depend on both the type and severity of the CVD and the specific task; more precisely, on the stimuli involved in the task. In this sense, the filter must increase the contrast (either in luminance or chromaticity) above the discrimination threshold for the observer, to allow them to distinguish those colours that were confused without the filter. The filter should be designed

in such a way that it does not make other stimuli involved in the task indiscernible (ie, those that the observer could discern without the filter).

Thus, in order to implement this ad hoc limited solution (for each specific task and observer), it is necessary to know both the spectral sensitivity of the observer and the spectral reflectance of the stimuli involved in the task. Moreover, building a filter with a particular spectral transmittance remains challenging.

Despite the conceptual and technical complexity of this ideal solution, it would be misleading to consider it as a colour vision improvement for an observer with CVD, for those reasons outlined earlier.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

In summary, filters can assist in certain specific colour-related tasks, enabling discrimination between some colours, and consequently between objects, but not allowing the subject with CVD to have comparable colour vision with those individuals who have normal colour vision, because filters are unable to expand the colour gamut of subjects. Coloured filters do not improve the colour vision of subjects with CVD, and even less so either “correct” or “cure” CVD.

We have identified the following open questions in the previous sections: how to effectively quantify possible colour vision improvements considering the subject's preference, how to develop a personalised colorimetry for CVD subjects, and how to design and build filters that could help with specific tasks.

It is a part of human nature to chase “miracles” and, regrettably, it is also a human trait to attempt to exploit solutions which have been insufficiently tested when there is potentially some financial gain. As Carl Sagan said, “Extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence”. It is therefore likely that, even if enough evidence could be produced to prove that colour filters cannot compensate for CVD, new filter proposals would still regularly appear on the market promoted as being beneficial for improving the colour vision of anomalous subjects. It is also more difficult to gain sufficient media coverage for scientific news of the negative type than for marketing campaigns led by some of the companies that produce these filters.

REFERENCES

1. Birch J. Worldwide prevalence of red-green color deficiency. *J Opt Soc Am A*. 2012;29:313-320.
2. Perales E, Martínez-Verdú FM, Linhares JMM, Nascimento SMC. Number of discernible colors for color-deficient observers estimated from the MacAdam limits. *J Opt Soc Am A*. 2010;27:2106-2114.
3. Birch J, Rodríguez-Carmona M. Occupational color vision standards: new prospects. *J Opt Soc Am A*. 2014;31:A55-A59.

4. Neitz M, Neitz J. Curing color blindness—mice and nonhuman primates. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Med.* 2014;4:a017418.
5. Popleteev A, Louveton N, McCall R. Colorizer: smart glasses aid for the colorblind. 2015; Workshop on Wearable Systems and Applications.
6. Maxwell JC. XVIII.—Experiments on colour, as perceived by the eye, with remarks on colour-blindness. *Earth Environ Sci Trans R Soc Edinburgh.* 1857;21:275-298.
7. Siegil IM. The X-Chrom lens. On seeing red. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 1981;25:312-324.
8. Hovis JK. Long wavelength pass filters designed for the management of color vision deficiencies. *Optom Vis Sci.* 1997;74:222-230.
9. Swarbrick HA, Nguyen P, Nguyen T, Pham P. The ChromaGen contact lens system: colour vision test results and subjective responses. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt.* 2001;21:182-196.
10. Schmidt I. Visual aids for correction of red-green colour deficiencies. *Can J Optom.* 1976;38:38-47.
11. Mastey R, Patterson EJ, Summerfelt P, et al. Effect of “color-correcting glasses” on chromatic discrimination in subjects with congenital color vision deficiency. *Invest Ophthalmol Visual Sci.* 2016;57:192.
12. Richer S, Adams A. Development of quantitative tools for filter-aided dichromats. *Am J Optom Physiol Opt.* 1984;61:246-255.
13. Linhares JMM, Pinto PD, Nascimento SMC. The number of discernible colors perceived by dichromats in natural scenes and the effects of colored lenses. *Vis Neurosci.* 2008;25:493-499.
14. Matsumoto ER, Johnson CA, Post RB. Effect of X-Chrom lens wear on chromatic discrimination and stereopsis in color-deficient observers. *Am J Optom Physiol Opt.* 1983;60:297-302.
15. Patterson EJ. Glasses for the colorblind: their effect on chromatic discrimination in subjects with congenital red-green color vision deficiency. *International Conference on Computer Vision Systems (ICVS),* 2017.
16. Almutairi N, Kundart J, Muthuramalingam N, Hayes J, Citek K, Aljohani S. Assessment of Enchroma Filter for Correcting Color Vision Deficiency [master thesis]. Pacific University of Oregon, USA. 2017.
17. Badawy AR, Hassan MU, Elsharif M, Ahmed Z, Yetisen AK, Butt H. Contact lenses for color blindness. *Adv Healthcare Mater.* 2018;7:1800152.
18. Gómez-Robledo L, Valero EM, Huertas R, Martínez-Domingo MA, Hernández-Andrés J. Do EnChroma glasses improve color vision for colorblind subjects? *Opt Express.* 2018;26:28693-28703.
19. Sato K, Inoue T, Tamura S, Takimoto H. Discrimination of colors by red-green color vision-deficient observers through digitally generated red filter. *Vis Neurosci.* 2019;36:E001.
20. Martínez-Domingo MA, Gómez-Robledo L, Valero EV, et al. Assessment of VINO filters for correcting red-green Color Vision Deficiency. *Opt Express.* 2019;27:17954-17967.
21. Martínez-Domingo MÁ, Valero EM, Gómez-Robledo L, Huertas R, Hernández-Andrés J. Spectral filter selection for increasing chromatic diversity in CVD subjects. *Sensors.* 2023;20:2023.
22. Huertas R, Ángel Martínez-Domingo M, Valero EM, Gomez-Robledo L, Hernández-Andrés J. Metasurface-based contact lenses for color vision deficiency: comment. *Opt Letters.* 2020;45(18):5117-5118.
23. Foster DH, Nascimento S, Amano K. Information limits on neural identification of colored surfaces in natural scenes. *Vis Neurosci.* 2004;21:331-336.
24. Rodríguez-Carmona ML, Harlow AJ, Walker G, Barbur JL. The variability of normal trichromatic vision and the establishment of the “normal” range. *Proceedings of 10th congress of the International Colour Association.* 2005.
25. Bonnardel V. Color naming and categorization in inherited color vision deficiencies. *Vis Neurosci.* 2006;23:637-643.
26. Nagy BV, Németh Z, Samu K, Ábrahám G. Variability and systematic differences in normal, protan, and deutan color naming. *Front Psychol.* 2014;5:1416.
27. Montag ED. Surface color naming in dichromats. *Vis Res.* 1994;34:2137-2151.
28. Moreland JD, Westland S, Cheung V, Dain SJ. Quantitative assessment of commercial filter ‘aids’ for red-green colour defectives. *Ophthalm Physiol Opt.* 2010;30:685-692.
29. DeMarco P, Pokorny J, Smith VC. Full-spectrum cone sensitivity functions for X-chromosome-linked anomalous trichromats. *J Opt Soc Am A.* 1992;9:1465-1476.
30. Fairchild MD. *Color Appearance Models.* New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2013.
31. Shaw M, Fairchild M. Evaluating the 1931 CIE color-matching functions. *Color Res Appl.* 2002;27:316-329.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

Eva M. Valero is Assoc. Prof. at the Department of Optics of the University of Granada. She received her PhD in Physics in 2000. Her research interests are Spectral Imaging, de-hazing algorithms and analysis of Cultural Heritage.

Rafael Huertas is Assoc. Prof. at the Department of Optics of the University of Granada. He received his PhD in Physics in 2004. His research interests are color in images, color-difference formulas, color spaces and color vision.

Miguel A. Martínez-Domingo is Assist. Prof. at the Department of the University of Granada. He received his PhD in Physics and Space Sciences in 2017. His research interests are spectral imaging, color vision, HDR imaging and color sciences.

Luis Gómez-Robledo is Assoc. Prof at the Department of Optics of the University of Granada. He received his PhD in Physics in 2011. His research is focused in color perception and colorblindness.

How to cite this article: Valero EM, Huertas R, Martínez-Domingo MÁ, et al. Is it really possible to compensate for colour blindness with a filter?. *Coloration Technol.* 2020;00:1–4.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/cote.12505>